

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: **COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS** 

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/052,744

03/31/98

HAMDI

R

CCC1P020/P15

TM01/1222

GARY R. STANFORD AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD, LLLP 816 CONGRESS AVENUE SUITE 1900 AUSTIN TX 78701

FLAMIN **ART UNIT** 

**EXAMINER** 

PAPER NUMBER

2182

DATE MAILED:

12/22/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## **Advisory Action**

1.00

Application No. 09/052,744 Applicant()

**HAMDI** et al

Examiner

Abdelmoniem Elamin

Group Art Unit 2182



THE	PER	D FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
6	a) 🔲	pires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
ŀ	) <b>X</b>	opires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final jection.	
(	late on	ion of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The ich the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of a the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
		t's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on(or within any response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
App but	lican is NC	response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Sep 26, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	i,
X	The pr	osed amendment(s):	
,	X] wi	e entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
{	wi	not be entered because:	
		ey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
		ey raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
		ley are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the sues for appeal.	
		ey present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NO		-
	□ A <sub>I</sub>	icant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	<u>-</u> -
	Newly separ	roposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a e, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	
		davit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition	
	for al	vance because: nts arguments are not deemed to be persuasive. Burnett et al teaches the limitations that mentioned in the remarks ims stil do not overcome the rejection stated in thr previous office action	_
		davit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the	-
	Exam	er in the final rejection.	
X	For p	poses of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
		allowed:	_
		objected to: 12, 13, 15, 16, and 19-22	-
		rejected: <u>1-4, 6-8, 10, 11, 14, 17, 18, 23, and 24</u>	-
		posed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner.	
	Note	e attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	
	Othe		
		THOMAS LEE	
		THE PARTIES OF THE PA	

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 17